IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

BRANDON NELMS,)
ID # 1837917,)
Petitioner,)
vs.) No. 3:18-CV-0302-B (BH)
THE TEXAS BOARD OF PARDONS) Referred to U.S. Magistrate Judge
AND PAROLES,)
Respondent.)

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

By *Special Order 3-251*, this habeas case has been automatically referred for findings, conclusions, and recommendation. Before the Court is the petitioner's motion for a default judgment, received on June 29, 2018 (doc. 15). The petitioner seeks a default judgment on grounds that the respondent has not yet filed a response to his federal habeas petition. Based on the relevant filings and applicable law, the motion should be **DENIED**.

Rule 55 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure sets forth the conditions under which default may be entered against a party, as well as the procedure for seeking the entry of default judgment. There is a three-step process for securing a default judgment. See N.Y. Life Ins. Co. v. Brown, 84 F.3d 137, 141 (5th Cir. 1996). First a default occurs when a party "has failed to plead or otherwise defend" against an action. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Next, an entry of default must be entered by the clerk when the default is established "by affidavit or otherwise". See id.; N.Y. Life Ins., 84 F.3d at 141. Third, a party may apply to the clerk or the court for a default judgment after an entry of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b); N.Y. Life Ins., 84 F.3d at 141.

In this case, the docket reflects that the Attorney General for the State of Texas was served electronically on April 6, 2018, and ordered to file an answer to the petitioner's habeas petition within sixty days. On June 5, 2018, the respondent filed her response. (*See* doc. 11.) The

PageID 61

respondent has therefore not failed to timely plead or otherwise defend this action.

Moreover, "a party is not entitled to a default judgment as a matter of right, even where the defendant is technically in default." Lewis v. Lynn, 236 F.3d 766, 767 (5th Cir. 2001) (quoting Ganther v. Ingle, 75 F.3d 207, 212 (5th Cir. 1996)). "In fact, '[d]efault judgments are a drastic remedy, not favored by the Federal Rules and resorted to by courts only in extreme situations." Lewis, 236 F.3d at 767 (quoting Sun Bank, 874 F.2d at 276). Because the respondent has appeared to defend this action and has timely answered the petition, the petitioner's motion for default judgment should be **DENIED**.

SO RECOMMENDED on this 2nd day of July, 2018.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

A copy of these findings, conclusions and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of these findings, conclusions and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). In order to be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. See Douglass v. United Servs. Automobile Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE